AMENDMENT OF SOLICI	ITATION/N	MODIFICA	TION OF CO	NTR	RACT	1. con	TRACT ID CODE	PAGE 1	OF PAGE 2	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001	3. EFFECTIV 98 Jan 27		REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. 5. PROJECT NO. SEE SCHEDULE							
6. ISSUED BY CODE	N65236	7. A	ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE							
SPACE AND NAVAL WARFARE		ENTER								
P.O. BOX 190022										
NORTH CHARLESTON, SC 2941	19-9022									
POC: GLENDA HOWELL CO.	DE: 1116GH									
TELEPHONE: (803) 974-5948										
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRAC	TOR (No., street, co	ounty, State and ZIP (Code)	(X)	9A. AME	ENDM	ENT OF SOLIC	ITATIO	N NO.	
			N65236-98-R-0400							
					9B. DAT	ATED (SEE ITEM 11)				
					97 Dec		19			
					10A. MO	DIFIC	ATION OF CO	NTRAC'	ſ/ORDER	
				l 👝	NC	NO.				
					10B. DA	TED (S	SEE ITEM 13)			
CODE	FACILIT	TY CODE		İ						
11. THI) AMENDMEN	TS OF	SOLICIT	`ATIO	ONS			
The above numbered solicitation is amended Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendmen	d as set forth in Item at prior to the hour ar	14. The hour and dand date specified in the	e specified for receipt of e solicitation or as amen	of Offers ided, by o	is extende	ed, 🔀	is not extended. ethods: (a) By co	ompleting	Items 8 and 15,	
and returning $\underline{1}$ copies of the amendment; (b) B	y acknowledging rec	eipt of this amendmen	nt on each copy of the of	ffer subm	itted; or (c) I	By separ	rate letter or telegr	am which	includes a	
reference to the solicitation and amendment number OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SP submitted, such change may be made by telegram of and date specified.	ECIFIED MAY RE	SULT IN REJECTIO	N OF YOUR OFFER.	If by virtu	ue of this amer	ndment	you desire to chang	ge an offer	already	
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION	ON DATA (If requ	iired)								
12 THIS IT	EM ADDITES	ONLY TO MO	DIFICATIONS	OF C	ONTRAC	TS/0	BDEBS			
			DER NO. AS D							
(X) A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISS TRACT/ORDER NO. IN ITEM	T TO: (Specify au	thority) THE CHAI	NGES S	ET FORTH	IN ITE	EM 14 ARE MA	DE IN T	HE CON-		
TRACI/ORDER NO. IN HEM	10A.									
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CO	NTRACT/ORDE	R IS MODIFIED T	O REFLECT THE A	ADMINI	STRATIVE	CHA	NGES (such as c	hanges i	n paying	
office, appropriation date, etc.)	SET FORTH IN	ITEM 14, PURSU	ANT TO THE AUTH	IORITY	OF FAR 43			0	1 7 0	
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGRE	EMENT IS ENT	ERED INTO PURS	SUANT TO AUTHO	RITY O	F:					
D. OTHER (Specify type of modified	cation and author	ity)								
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor i	s not, is r	eauired to sign th	is document and r	eturn (ORIGINAI	cor	oies to the issui	ing offic	æ.	
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MO						_				
Subject solicitation is hereby amen	ded as shown	on page 2								
Answers to Industry Questions are provided as Attachment 01. Attachment 01 is for information only.										
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions	of the document ref	erenced in Item 9A o	10A, as heretofore cha	nged, ren	nains unchange	ed and i	n full force and eff	ect.		
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (T	ype or print)		16. NAME AN	D TITLI	E OF CONT	RACT	ING OFFICER	(Type or	print)	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	1	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED	STATES	S OF AMER	ICA		16C.	DATE SIGNED	
COMPANIE TO SECTION			BY		. O. AMILIK	1				
(Cionatura of manage and color live)	1		/G:			2 1		-		

- 1. SECTION C, Page 26, CHANGE paragraph 3.6.b. to read as follows:
 - b. As a minimum, the following individuals SHALL hold or have TOP SECRET CLEARANCE IN PROCESS AT TIME OF SUBMISSION OF THE PROPOSAL.
- 2. SECTION H, Clause H411 Page 54, ADD the following paragraph:

The following represents the government's correlation of RFP labor categories to the Department of Labor Wage Categories. This correlation is provided to assist offerors in preparing realistic price proposals and to assist offerors in complying with the Service Contract Act. Offerors who fail to propose in accordance with this clause risk rejection. Offerors are also reminded that compliance with the Service Contract Act and the Department of Labor Wage Determination is mandatory and any evidence of noncompliance will be forwarded to the Department of Labor.

DOL LABOR CATEGORY RFP CATEGORY Software Analyst Computer programmer IV Electronic Technician Electronic Tech III Word Processor Word Processor I Draftsman Drafter III File Clerk File Clerk II Data Entry Clerk Key Entry Operator II **Engineering Technologist** Engineering Tech II Engineering Technologist Jr Engineering Tech I

3. SECTION I, Clause I142 page 67-68, **DELETE** categories and wages shown and **REPLACE** with the following:

Employee Class (SCA Class)	Monetary Wage - Fringe Benefits
Software Analyst (Computer programmer IV)	\$20.57
Electronic Technician (Electronic Tech III)	\$19.90
Word Processor (Word Processor I)	\$ 7.58
Draftsman (Drafter III)	\$ 9.33
File Clerk (File Clerk II)	\$ 6.18
Data Entry Clerk (Key Entry Operator II)	\$ 7.58
Engineering Technologist (Engineering Tech II)	\$20.57
Engineering Technologist Jr (Engineering Tech I)	\$19.90

- 4. SECTION L, Clause L630, paragraph (A)c., second paragraph ADD:
 - Resumes shall be limited to 2 pages. The certification by the Authorized Company Representative shall be limited to 1 page and shall be excluded from the 130 page limit. The Introduction and Table of Contents shall be included in the 130 page limit of the Other Factors Proposal.
- 5. SECTION L, Clause L630, paragraph (D)(3),page 107, <u>CHANGE</u> the number of resumes for the Task engineer/Analyst Senior and Software Analyst to read 3 in lieu of 1. <u>CHANGE</u> the TOTAL to read 22 in lieu of 18.
- SECTION L, Clause L630, page 107 <u>CHANGE</u> 3. Section III(TAB C) <u>Management Structure</u> TO READ: (4). Section III(TAB C) Management Structure.
- 7. SECTION L, Clause L630, page 108 <u>CHANGE</u> (4) Section IV (TAB D) Small, Small Disadvantaged Business and Women-Owned Small Business Participation TO READ: (5) Section IV (TAB D) Small, Small Disadvantaged Business and Women-Owned Small Business Participation.
- 8. Section M, Clause M650 paragraph 1.1.3.(a) on page 117, <u>DELETE</u> the last sentence that reads: "The suitability of the labor categories offered, and the number of hours for each category (i.e., the ix of labor relative to the total level of effort required for each task in the SOW) will also be evaluated.

ATTACHMENT 01 N65236-98-R-0400 INDUSTRY QUESTIONS/ANSWERS

1. QUESTION:

Solicitation paragraphs C3.6b and C3.6c specify numbers and labor categories of personnel which must hold TOP SECRET security clearances or SSBI/SBI respectively, at the time of submission of the proposal. There are two labor categories (TASK ENGINEER/ANALYST (SENIOR) and SOFTWARE ANALYST) where a single resume is required, but where the offeror must have multiple personnel with TOP SECRET clearances or SSBI/SBI. How will the government ascertain that an offeror has the required number of individuals with the proper clearance/background investigation in these two labor categories?

ANSWER:

See Item #5 on page 2 of Amendment 0001.

2. QUESTION:

The solicitation specifies that resumes will not be included in the page count of the OTHER FACTORS volume. The solicitation does not specify a page limit on individual resumes. Is there a page limitation on individual resumes? If so, is the certification by the AUTHORIZED COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE excluded from the resume page limit?

ANSWER:

See Item #4 on page 2 of Amendment 0001.

3. QUESTION:

Is the Introduction to the OTHER FACTORS PROPOSAL (VOLUME II) excluded from the 130 page limit? Is the Table of Contents excluded from the 130 page limit for that volume?

ANSWER:

See Item #4 on page 2 of Amendment 0001.

4. QUESTION:

Page 109 of the solicitation identifies a set of requirements for level of confidence data that is not directly covered in Attachment 04 (Contractor Performance Data Sheet). Should this additional data and narrative be appended to the relevant attachments 04 under the "OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION" heading?

ANSWER:

Yes.

ATTACHMENT 01 N65236-98-R-0400

5. QUESTION:

The third bulletized paragraph on page 109 of the solicitation requires an outline of how the effort required by the solicitation will be assigned within the contractor's corporate entity and among proposed subcontractors. The other data and Attachment 4, to which the paragraph refers, is all historical in nature, i.e. past performance. This bullet is prospective and does not seem to fit within the context of the rest of the bulletized data on the page. May this requirement be addressed in the introductory material to the company experience portion of the LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENT RATING DATA volume?

ANS	WER	:
-----	-----	---

Yes.

6. QUESTION:

Reference Solicitation Paragraph H-411, Wage Determination Applicable, Service Contract Act and Paragraph I-142, Statement of Equivalent Rates for Federal Hires.

(a) Request clarification of the applicable Contract Labor Category conformance to the Service Contract Act Wage Determination Register category. The only conformance identified in the solicitation is in paragraph I-142 and the correlation is significantly different from contract historical performance and the approved correlation on the current contract.

ANSWER:

See Item #2 on page 2 of Amendment 0001.

(b) For cost estimating purposes, request that the government provide an anticipated percentage of performance between Norfolk, VA and Charleston, SC.

ANSWER:

Charleston – 35 percent Norfolk – 65 percent

- 7. Section C, page 26, paragraph 3.6 PERSONNEL SECURITY REQUIREMENTS states:
 - a. It is required that all "key personnel" (labor categories for whom resumes where required to be submitted prior to award) proposed for this requirement SHALL hold or have in process a SECRET or higher security clearance.
 - b. As a minimum, the following individuals SHALL have TOP SECRET clearances at the TIME OF SUBMISSION OF THE PROPOSAL:

ATTACHMENT 01 N65236-98-R-0400

QUESTION: Navy policy on security clearances recommends that contractors keep them to an absolute minimum. In this aspect, we continually strive to keep security clearances at an absolute minimum as policy encourages. Request consider changing the requirement from "SHALL have TOP SECRET clearances at TIME OF SUBMISSION OF THE PROPOSAL" to "SHALL HOLD OR HAVE TOP SECRET CLEARANCE IN PROCESS AT TIME OF SUBMISSION OF THE PROPOSAL."

ANSWER:

See Item #1 on page 2 of Amendment 0001.

8. Section M, page 117, the last sentence of Section M650.1.1.3(a) reads.."The suitability of labor categories offered, and the number of hours for each category (i.e., the mix of labor relative to the total level of effort required for each task in the SOW) will also be evaluated."

QUESTION: It is impossible to provide the number of hours required in a labor category to accomplish the SOW tasks, without additional data. To respond to this question, some scoping data regarding the actual SOW task is necessary. For example, the design (SOW task C3.3) of a small addition (such as a new circuit) to a communications station would require significantly few hours and fewer labor categories than the design for the relocation or modernization of a Communications Area Master Station. Please clarify the evaluation process??

ANSWER:

See Item #8 on page 2 of Amendment 0001.